

LINDELÖF SPACES OF SINGULAR DENSITY

ISTVÁN JUHÁSZ AND SAHARON SHELAH

ABSTRACT. A cardinal λ is called ω -inaccessible if for all $\mu < \lambda$ we have $\mu^\omega < \lambda$. We show that for every ω -inaccessible cardinal λ there is a CCC (hence cardinality and cofinality preserving) forcing that adds a hereditarily Lindelöf regular space of density λ . This extends an analogous earlier result of ours that only worked for regular λ .

In [1] we have shown that for any cardinal λ a natural CCC forcing notion adds a hereditarily Lindelöf 0-dimensional Hausdorff topology on λ that makes the resulting space X_λ left-separated in its natural well-ordering. It was also shown there that the density $d(X_\lambda) = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, hence if λ is regular then $d(X_\lambda) = \lambda$. The aim of this paper is to show that a suitable extension of the construction given in [1] enables us to generalize this to many singular cardinals as well.

Note that the existence of an L-space, that we now know is provable in ZFC (see [3]), is equivalent to the existence of a hereditarily Lindelöf regular space of density ω_1 . Since the cardinality of a hereditarily Lindelöf T_2 space is at most continuum, just in ZFC we cannot replace in this ω_1 with anything bigger. The following problem however, that is left open by our subsequent result, can be raised naturally.

Problem 1. *Assume that $\omega_1 < \lambda \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Does there exist then a hereditarily Lindelöf regular space of density λ ?*

We should emphasize that this problem is open for all cardinals λ , regular or singular, in particular for $\lambda = \omega_2$.

Before describing our new construction, let us recall that the one given in [1] is based on simultaneously and generically “splitting into two” the complements $\lambda \setminus \alpha$ for all proper initial segments α of λ . The novelty in the construction to be given is that we shall perform the same simultaneous splitting for the complements of the members of a family $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of λ that is, at least when λ is singular, much larger

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A25, 03E35.

Key words and phrases. hereditarily Lindelöf space, density of a space, singular cardinal, forcing.

The first author was supported by OTKA grant no. 61600.

than the family of its proper initial segments (that is just λ if we are considering von Neumann ordinals). The following definition serves to describe the properties of such a family of subsets of λ .

Definition 2. Let λ be an infinite cardinal. A family $\mathcal{A}$ of *proper* subsets of λ is said to be *good* over λ if it satisfies properties (i)-(iii) below:

- (i) $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ that is, all proper initial segments of λ belong to $\mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) for every subset $S \subset \lambda$ with $|S| < \lambda$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $S \subset A$;
- (iii) for every subset $S \subset \lambda$ with $|S| = \omega_1$ there is $T \in [S]^{\omega_1}$ such that if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then either $|A \cap T| \leq \omega$ or $T \subset A$.

If λ is regular then $\mathcal{A} = \lambda$, the family of all proper initial segments of λ , is a good family over λ . Indeed, (i) and (ii) are obviously valid and if $S \in [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ then any subset T of S of order type ω_1 satisfies (iii). If, however, λ is singular then this $\mathcal{A}$ definitely does not satisfy condition (ii). Actually, we do not know if it is provable in ZFC that for any (singular) cardinal λ there is a good family over λ . But we know that they do exist if λ is ω -inaccessible, that is $\mu^\omega < \lambda$ holds whenever $\mu < \lambda$.

Theorem 3. *If λ is an ω -inaccessible cardinal then there exists a good family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{<\lambda}$ over λ .*

Proof. It is well-known that there is a map $G : [\omega]^\omega \rightarrow \omega$ with the property that for every $a \in [\omega]^\omega$ we have $G[[a]^\omega] = \omega$. In other words: we may color the infinite subsets of ω with countably many colors so that on the subsets of any infinite set all the colors are picked up. Such a coloring may be constructed by a simple transfinite recursion.

Next we fix a *maximal almost disjoint* family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of order type ω of our underlying set λ and then we “transfer” the coloring G to each member F of $\mathcal{F}$. More precisely, this means that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we fix a map $G_F : [F]^\omega \rightarrow F$ such that $G_F[[a]^\omega] = F$ whenever $a \in [F]^\omega$. Then we “fit together” these colorings G_F to obtain a coloring $H : [\lambda]^\omega \rightarrow \lambda$ of all countable subsets of λ as follows: For any $S \in [\lambda]^\omega$ we set $H(S) = G_F(S)$ if there is an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $S \subset F$ and $H(S) = 0$ otherwise. The coloring H is well-defined because, as $\mathcal{F}$ is almost disjoint, for every $S \in [\lambda]^\omega$ there is at most one $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $S \subset F$.

Now, a set $C \subset \lambda$ is called *H-closed* if for every $S \in [C]^\omega$ we have $H(S) \in C$. Clearly, for every set $A \subset \lambda$ there is a smallest *H-closed* set including A that will be denoted by $cl_H(A)$ and is called the *H-closure* of A .

Let us set $A^+ = A \cup H[[A]^\omega]$ for any $A \subset \lambda$. It is obvious that then we have

$$cl_H(A) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} A^\alpha,$$

where the sets A^α are defined by the following transfinite recursion: $A^0 = A$, $A^{\alpha+1} = (A^\alpha)^+$, and $A^\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A^\beta$ for α limit. Since

$$H[[A]^\omega] \subset \bigcup \{F \in \mathcal{F} : |F \cap A| = \omega\} \cup \{0\},$$

it is also obvious that we have $|A^+| \leq |A|^\omega$ for all $A \subset \lambda$ and consequently

$$|cl_H(A)| \leq |A|^\omega$$

as well. In particular, $|A| < \lambda$ implies $|cl_H(A)| < \lambda$ because λ is ω -inaccessible.

Now we claim that the family $\mathcal{A}$ of all H -closed sets of cardinality less than λ is good over λ . Indeed, first notice that because each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ has order type ω , for every set $S \in [F]^\omega$ we have

$$H(S) = G_F(S) < \sup F = \sup S,$$

implying that every initial segment α of λ is H -closed and so $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies condition (i) of definition 2. Condition (ii) is satisfied trivially.

To see (iii) we first show that there is no infinite strictly descending sequence of H -closed subsets of λ , or in other words: the family of H -closed sets is well-founded with respect to inclusion. Assume, reasoning indirectly, that $\{C_n : n < \omega\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of H -closed sets and for each $n < \omega$ we have $\alpha_n \in C_n \setminus C_{n+1}$. By the maximality of $\mathcal{F}$ then there is some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the set $S = F \cap \{\alpha_n : n < \omega\}$ is infinite. Then, for any $k < \omega$, the set $S \cap C_k$ is also infinite and consequently we have

$$H[[S \cap C_k]^\omega] = G_F[[S \cap C_k]^\omega] = F \subset C_k$$

because C_k is H -closed. But for any $k < \omega$ such that $\alpha_k \in S \subset F$ this would imply

$$\alpha_k \in F \subset C_{k+1},$$

which is clearly a contradiction.

Now let $S \subset \lambda$ with $|S| = \sigma$. Our previous result clearly implies that there is a set $T \in [S]^\sigma$ such that we have $cl_H(U) = cl_H(T)$ whenever $U \subset T$ with $|U| = \sigma$. In other words, this means that for every H -closed set C we have either $|C \cap T| < \sigma$ or $T \subset C$. In particular, for $\sigma = \omega_1$ this shows that our family $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies condition (iii) of definition 2 as well, hence it is indeed good over λ . $\square$

Next we present our main result that, in view of theorem 3, immediately implies the consistency of the existence of hereditarily Lindelöf regular spaces of density λ practically for any singular cardinal λ . (Of course, this has to be in a model in which $\lambda \leq \mathfrak{c}$.) We shall follow [2] in our notation and terminology concerning forcing.

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a good family over λ . Then there is a complete (hence CCC) subforcing $\mathbb{Q}$ of the Cohen forcing $Fn(\mathcal{A} \times \lambda, 2)$ such that in the generic extension $V^{\mathbb{Q}}$ there is a hereditarily Lindelöf 0-dimensional Hausdorff topology τ on λ that has density λ . If we also have $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{<\lambda}$ (as in theorem 3) then every subset of λ of size $< \lambda$ is even τ -nowhere dense.*

Proof. We start by defining the the subforcing $\mathbb{Q}$ of $Fn(\mathcal{A} \times \lambda, 2)$: $\mathbb{Q}$ consists of those $p \in Fn(\mathcal{A} \times \lambda, 2)$ for which $\langle A, \alpha \rangle \in \text{dom } p$ with $\alpha \in A$ implies $p(A, \alpha) = 0$ and $\langle A, \gamma_A \rangle \in \text{dom } p$ implies $p(A, \gamma_A) = 1$, where $\gamma_A = \min(\lambda \setminus A)$. It is straight-forward to check that $\mathbb{Q}$ is a complete suborder of $Fn(\mathcal{A} \times \lambda, 2)$.

For any condition $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and any set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we define

$$U_A^p = \{\alpha : p(A, \alpha) = 1\},$$

and if $G \subset \mathbb{Q}$ is generic then, in $V[G]$, we set

$$U_A = \bigcup \{U_A^p : p \in G\}.$$

Next, let $U_A^1 = U_A$ and $U_A^0 = \lambda \setminus A$ and τ be the topology on λ generated by the sets $\{U_A^i : i < 2, A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Note that then the family $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\varepsilon : \varepsilon \in Fn(\mathcal{A}, 2)\}$ is a base for τ , where $B_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{A \in \text{dom } \varepsilon} U_A^{\varepsilon(A)}$. It is clear from the definition that each B_ε is clopen, hence τ is 0-dimensional. Now, if $\beta < \alpha < \lambda$ then we have $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ by (i) and hence $\beta \in \alpha \subset U_\alpha^0$ while $\alpha = \gamma_\alpha \in U_\alpha^1$, which shows that τ is also Hausdorff. It is also immediate from (ii) that no set $S \in [\lambda]^{<\lambda}$ is τ -dense, hence the space $\langle \lambda, \tau \rangle$ has density λ . Indeed, if $S \subset A \in \mathcal{A}$ then we have $S \cap U_A^1 = \emptyset$, while $U_A^1 \neq \emptyset$. Thus it only remains for us to prove that the topology τ is hereditarily Lindelöf.

Assume, reasoning indirectly, that some condition $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ forces that τ is not hereditarily Lindelöf, i. e. there is a right separated ω_1 -sequence in λ . More precisely, this means that there are $\mathbb{Q}$ -names $\dot{s}$ and $\dot{e}$ such that p forces “ $\dot{s} : \omega_1 \rightarrow \lambda$, $\dot{e} : \omega_1 \rightarrow Fn(\mathcal{A}, 2)$, $\dot{s}(\alpha) \in B_{\dot{e}(\alpha)}$, and $\dot{s}(\beta) \notin B_{\dot{e}(\alpha)}$ whenever $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$.” Then, in the ground model V , for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ we may pick a condition $p_\alpha \leq p$, an ordinal $\nu_\alpha < \lambda$, and a finite function $\varepsilon_\alpha \in Fn(\mathcal{A}, 2)$ such that

$$p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{s}(\alpha) = \nu_\alpha \wedge \dot{e}(\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha.$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a complete suborder of $Fn(\mathcal{A} \times \lambda, 2)$ it has property K, hence we may assume without any loss of generality that the conditions p_α are pairwise compatible. By extending the conditions p_α , if necessary, we may assume that $\text{dom } p_\alpha = I_\alpha \times a_\alpha$ with $I_\alpha \in [\mathcal{A}]^{<\omega}$ and $a_\alpha \in [\lambda]^{<\omega}$, moreover $\text{dom } \varepsilon_\alpha \subset I_\alpha$ and $\nu_\alpha \in a_\alpha$ whenever $\alpha < \omega_1$. With an appropriate thinning out (and re-indexing) we can achieve that if $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ then

$$\nu_\beta \notin a_\alpha \cup \{\gamma_A : A \in I_\alpha\}.$$

Using standard counting and delta-system arguments, we may assume that each ε_α has the same size $n < \omega$, moreover the sets

$$\text{dom } \varepsilon_\alpha = \{A_{i,\alpha} : i < n\} \in [\mathcal{A}]^n$$

form a delta-system, so that for some $m < n$ we have $A_{i,\alpha} = A_i$ if $i < m$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$, and the families $\{A_{m,\alpha}, \dots, A_{n-1,\alpha}\}$ are pairwise disjoint. We may also assume that for every $i < n$ there is a fixed value $l_i < 2$ such that $\varepsilon_\alpha(A_i) = l_i$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$. With a further thinning out we may achieve to have

$$\text{dom } \varepsilon_\alpha \cap I_\beta = \{A_i : i < m\}$$

whenever $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$.

Finally, by property (iii) of the good family $\mathcal{A}$, we may also assume that the set $T = \{\nu_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \in [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ satisfies either $|A \cap T| \leq \omega$ or $T \subset A$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now, after all this thinning out, we claim that there is a countable ordinal $\alpha > 0$ such that, for every $i < n$, if $\nu_\alpha \in A_{i,0}$ then $l_i = 0$. Indeed, arguing indirectly, assume that for every $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ there is an $i_\alpha < n$ with $\nu_\alpha \in A_{i_\alpha,0}$ and $l_{i_\alpha} = 1$. Then there is a fixed $j < n$ such that the set $\{\alpha : i_\alpha = j\}$ is uncountable and $l_j = 1$. But the first part implies $|A_{j,0} \cap T| = \omega_1$, hence $\nu_0 \in T \subset A_{j,0} \subset U_{A_{j,0}}^0$ that would imply $\varepsilon_0(A_{j,0}) = l_j = 1$, a contradiction.

So, let us choose $\alpha > 0$ as in our above claim. We then define a finite function $q \in Fn(\mathcal{A} \times \lambda, 2)$ by setting $q \supset p_0 \cup p_\alpha$,

$$\text{dom } q = \text{dom } p_0 \cup \text{dom } p_\alpha \cup \{\langle A_{i,0}, \nu_\alpha \rangle : m \leq i < n\},$$

and finally

$$q(A_{i,0}, \nu_\alpha) = l_i$$

for all $m \leq i < n$. We have $\nu_\alpha \notin a_0$, and also $A_{i,0} \notin I_\alpha$ for $m \leq i < n$ by our construction, hence this definition of q is correct. Moreover, by the above claim if $\nu_\alpha \in A_{i,0}$ then $l_i = 0$ and if $\nu_\alpha \notin A_{i,0}$ then $\nu_\alpha \neq \gamma_{A_{i,0}}$, consequently we actually have $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let us observe, however, that we have $q(A_{i,0}, \nu_\alpha) = l_i$ for all $i < n$. Indeed, if $i < m$ then this holds because $p_\alpha(A_{i,0}, \nu_\alpha) = p_\alpha(A_i, \nu_\alpha) = l_i$.

But this implies that $q \Vdash \nu_\alpha \in B_{\varepsilon_0}$ and hence $q \Vdash \dot{s}(\alpha) \in B_{\dot{\varepsilon}(0)}$ that is clearly a contradiction because q extends p .

Now assume that we also have $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{<\lambda}$ (in V). Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is CCC, every subset of λ in $V^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is covered by a ground model set of the same size, hence it suffices to show that any ground model member Y of $[\lambda]^{<\lambda}$ is τ -nowhere dense. To see this, we first note that it follows from a straight-forward density argument that for every $\varepsilon \in Fn(\mathcal{A}, 2)$ we have $|B_\varepsilon| = \lambda$. (Actually, this only uses the assumption that $|\lambda \setminus \cup \mathcal{A}_0| = \lambda$ for every $\mathcal{A}_0 \in [\mathcal{A}]^{<\omega}$ which is weaker than $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{<\lambda}$.)

Next, consider any set $Y \in [\lambda]^{<\lambda} \cap V$ and a fixed $\varepsilon \in Fn(\mathcal{A}, 2)$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies condition (ii) of definition 2, we may clearly find an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $Y \subset A$ and $A \notin \text{dom } \varepsilon$. Let $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon \cup \{\langle A, 1 \rangle\}$, then $B_{\varepsilon'} = B_\varepsilon \cap U_A^1$ is a non-empty open subset of B_ε that is clearly disjoint from A and hence from Y as well. This shows that Y is indeed τ -nowhere dense. $\square$

For a singular cardinal λ of cofinality ω the results of [1] did imply the existence of hereditarily Lindelöf regular spaces of density λ , by taking the topological sum of those of density λ_n with λ_n regular and $\lambda = \sum_{n < \omega} \lambda_n$. It should be emphasized, however, that the spaces obtained in this way clearly do not have the stronger property we obtained in theorem 4 that all subsets of size less than λ are nowhere dense. So, we do have here something new even in the case of singular cardinals of cofinality ω .

Finally, we would like to point out that the forcing construction given in [1] may be considered as a particular case of that in theorem 4, where the good family $\mathcal{A}$ over λ happens to be equal to the family of all proper initial segments of λ .

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Juhász and S. Shelah, *Generic left separated spaces and calibers*, Top. Appl. 132 (2003), 103–108.
- [2] K. Kunen, *Set Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980
- [3] J. T. Moore, *A solution to the L space problem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), 717–736.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
E-mail address: juhasz@renyi.hu

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM
E-mail address: shelah@math.huji.ac.il